कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री का अतिरिक्त प्रभार (श्रीमती मार्ग्रेट आल्वा): (क) 1-2-93 की स्थिति के अनुसार, केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो ने 1,321 मामले हाथ में लिए हैं ।

(ख) 1321 मामलों में से 337 मामले आर्थिक अपराधों से संबंधित थे ।

(ग) और (घ) केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो को मजबूत करने का प्रस्ताव सरकार के विचाराधीन है ।

(ङ) और (च) सामान्यतः जांच नियत समय में पूरा कर ली जाती है ।

Extension to Government employees

3052. SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA:

DR. BAPU KALDATE[1]:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases where extensions in services were given during the last three years in the cases of class I officers including secretaries in the Ministries and Department (year-wise); and

(b) what are the reasons for giving extension of service in contravention of the policy/rule laid down in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND' PENSIONS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY O'F PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) The information relating to grant of extension in service to Class I officers is not centrally monitored. However, the extension given to the officers at the level of Secretaries in various Ministries/Departments during the preceding three years is as follows:-

Year	No. of Officers
1990	3
1991	1
1992	—

(b) Extension in service is normally not granted except in very rare and exceptional circumstances purely in public interest.

Safeguards under rule 30-A of IDPL, CDA Rules

3053. SHRI MD. SALIM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2259 given in the Rajya Sabha on 30th July, 1992 and state:

(a) whether rule similar to that of 30-A exists in the Conduct, Discipline and Appeal, Rules of any of the public sector undertakings or an equivalent rule is found in the CCS (CCA) Rules together with reasons therefor;

(b) whether it is a fact that public undertakings rules are based on the Model Rules circulated by the Bureau of Public Enterprisas, with reasons therefor;

(c) if so, the public undertakings that have not included this similar rule, in their rules together with reasons therefor; and

(d) whether it is a fact that there is no safeguards provided in this rule like hearing; enquiry and appeal for the protection of interest of the affected/victimized permanent employees, with reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) to (d): The erstwhile Bureau of Public Enterprises (BPE) had circulated